

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

AKI JONES,	:	CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:17-CV-1096
	:	
Plaintiff	:	(Chief Judge Conner)
	:	
v.	:	
	:	
JOHN E. WETZEL, et al.,	:	
	:	
Defendants	:	

ORDER

AND NOW, this 30th day of September, 2019, upon consideration of the report (Doc. 40) of Magistrate Judge William I. Arbuckle, recommending that the court grant defendants' motion (Doc. 24) for judgment on the pleadings, and it appearing that plaintiff has objected to the report, see FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b)(2), and the court noting that failure of a party to timely object to a magistrate judge's conclusions "may result in forfeiture of *de novo* review at the district court level," Nara v. Frank, 488 F.3d 187, 194 (3d Cir. 2007) (citing Henderson v. Carlson, 812 F.2d 874, 878-79 (3d Cir. 1987)), but that, as a matter of good practice, a district court should afford "reasoned consideration" to the uncontested portions of the report, E.E.O.C. v. City of Long Branch, 866 F.3d 93, 100 (3d Cir. 2017) (quoting Henderson, 812 F.2d at 879), in order to "satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record," FED. R. CIV. P. 72(b), advisory committee notes, and, following an independent review of the record, the court being in agreement with Judge

Arbuckle's recommendation, and concluding that there is no clear error on the face of the record, it is hereby ORDERED that:

1. The report (Doc. 40) of Magistrate Judge Arbuckle is ADOPTED.
2. Defendants' motion (Doc. 24) for judgment on the pleadings is GRANTED.
3. The Clerk of Court is directed to enter JUDGMENT against plaintiff and in favor of defendants on all claims asserted in the complaint (Doc. 1).
4. The Clerk of Court is further directed to CLOSE this case.

/S/ CHRISTOPHER C. CONNER

Christopher C. Conner, Chief Judge
United States District Court
Middle District of Pennsylvania